



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/427,787	<u></u>	10/27/1999	PALANISWAMY RAJAN	22022.0004	3759	
23859	7590	08/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NEEDLE 6	& ROSE	NBERG, P.C.	•	NGUYEN, THU H.		
	SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA				2155		
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(Pa/ 1					
Advisory Action	09/427,787	RAJAN ET AL.	7					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The app	See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension					
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply digitally set in the linal office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: Please see attachment.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	;							
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 12-22</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								
		DSAIN ALAM RY PATENT EXAMI	NER					

Application/Control Number: 09/427,787

Art Unit: 2155

Attachment to Advisory Action

- As per telephone conference with Applicant's representative Mr. Gregory
 J. Kirsch (Registration No. 35,572), the agreement does not reach the result as suggested by Supervisors and examiner in order to move the application forward.
- 2. In claim 1, step b) recites the limitations "thereby causing the client computer to store the aggregated content" that is redundant with step c).
- 3. Step d) in claim 1, likewise in other independent claims 14 and 22, recite the limitation "receiving by the host computer a request concerning the aggregated content", it is unclear what "the aggregated content" means. Since both host computer and client computer have "the aggregated content", which "the aggregated content" is meant in the receiving request? In the instant invention discloses information providers, host computer and client computer, so who is sending the request that received by the host computer?
- 4. Since the claimed language is unclear, thus it has USC § 112, 2nd paragraph problem. Applicant is required to have an appropriate correction to solve the ambiguity of the claimed language as regarding the claims.
- 5. Furthermore, the amendment changes the scope of the claims by adding "a plurality of", ""transmitted" and by deleting "one or more", "said information providers not including network devices". Therefore, further consideration and/or search is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/427,787

Art Unit: 2155

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam, can be reached at (703) 305-9648.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

The fax number for art unit 2155 is (703) 872-9306.

Thu Ha Nguyen

August 12, 2004